| • | Case 2:16-mj-00350-DUTY Document 1 | 10 Filed 02/19/16 Page 1 of 3 Page ID #:33 | |
|----------|---|--|--|
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 4 | FEB 1 9 2016 | | |
| 5 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 6 | | BY DEPUTY | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | Case No. 16-350-1M | |
| 13 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | ORDER OF DETENTION | |
| 14 | Plaintiff, | | |
| 15 | v. Erik Gomez, | | |
| 16 | Defendant. | | |
| 17 | Defendant. | | |
| 18 | | I. | |
| 19 20 | The Court conducted a detention hearing: | | |
| 21 | ☐ On motion of the Government [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)] in a case | | |
| 22 | allegedly involving Choose an item. | | |
| 23 | On motion by the Government or on the Court's own motion | | |
| 24 | [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)] in a case allegedly involving a serious risk that the | | |
| 25 | defendant will flee. | | |
| 26 | The Court concludes that the Government is not entitled to a rebuttable | | |
| 27 | presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | | |

| 1 | the defendant's appearance as required and the safety or any person or the | |
|------|--|--|
| 2 | community [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)]. | |
| 3 | II. | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will | |
| 6 | reasonably assure: ⊠ the appearance of the defendant as required. | |
| 7 | ☑ the safety of any person or the community. Choose an item. | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | III. | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | The Court has considered: (a) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) | |
| 12 | charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of | |
| 13 | terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, | |
| 14 | or destructive device; (b) the weight of evidence against the defendant; (c) the | |
| 15 | history and characteristics of the defendant; and (d) the nature and seriousness of | |
| 16 | the danger to any person or the community. [18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)] The Court also | |
| 17 | considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the arguments, the | |
| 18 | arguments of counsel, and the report and recommendation of the U.S. Pretrial | |
| 19 | Services Agency. | |
| 20 | IV. | |
| 21 | The Court bases its conclusions on the following: | |
| 22 | As to risk of non-appearance: | |
| 23 | ☐ Lack of bail resources | |
| 24 | ☐ Defendant has no legal status in the United States | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | ☐ History of non compliance with court orders | |
| 27 | ☑ Defendant has family ties to El Salvador | |
| 28 | | |
| - 11 | | |

2

Case 2:16-mj-00350-DUTY Document 10 Filed 02/19/16 Page 2 of 3 Page ID #:34